



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/607,405                       | 06/26/2003  | Joseph Merenda          | SKY-010             | 5479            |
| 7590 07/27/2004                  |             |                         | EXAMINER            |                 |
| Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, LLP |             |                         | A, MINH D           |                 |
| Suite 100                        |             |                         |                     |                 |
| 595 Shrewsbury Avenue            |             |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Shrewsbury, NJ 07702             |             |                         | 2821                |                 |
|                                  |             | DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004 |                     |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/607,405  | MERENDA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minh D A  | 2821  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> .  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | drawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03</u>.</li> </ol>   | ,   | s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 8-13, 15-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Gothard et al (US 6,369,770).

Regarding claim 1, Gothard discloses an antenna comprising: a plurality of phased array elements (10) adapted to synthesize a radiation pattern for communicating with neighboring nodes of a mesh network; and a drive circuit (72) for supplying microwave power to the plurality of phased array elements (10) and for controlling a directionality of the radiation pattern. See figures 1-2b, col.4, lines 15-67 to col.6, lines 1-36.

Regarding claim 4, Gothard discloses wherein the directionality is switched in P discrete directions, where P is an integer greater than 1. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 8, Gothard discloses the drive circuit (72) is coupled to a transceiver and modem circuit. See col.4, lines 5-29.

Regarding claims 9-10, Gothard discloses the drive circuit and plurality of phased array elements, where the enclosure comprises an adhesive element and wherein the adhesive element is adapted for attaching the enclosure to a flat surface. See figure 2-2b.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,405

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 11, Gothard discloses a multi-layer circuit board that support the plurality of antenna elements, a ground plane, and the driver circuit. See figures 1-4.

Regarding claim 12, Gothard discloses substrate for supporting the plurality of phased array elements. See col.2, lines 38-67 to col.3, lines 1-25.

Regarding claim 13, Gothard discloses an antenna network comprising: a multi-layer circuit board having a first side and a second side, with a ground plane formed within the multi-layer circuit board; an antenna array (10), affixed to the first side of the multi-layer circuit board, having M x N array of antenna elements, where M and N are integers greater than 1, a driver circuit, affixed to the second side of the multi-layer circuit board, having a power divider (60) that divides an input microwave signal into M signal paths, a plurality of phase shift circuits (56-n) are coupled to M-1 paths and the output of each phase shift circuit (56-n) is coupled to an antenna element (10), one of the M signal paths is coupled directly to an antenna element. See figures 1-4, col.4, lines 30-67 to col.8, lines 1-2.

Regarding claim 15, Gothard discloses wherein the power divider (60) comprises attenuation in each of the M signal paths. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 16, Gothard discloses wherein the phase sift circuits (56-n) comprise switched hybrid couplers that, in response to a control signal, phase shift the signals on the M-1 paths by a discrete phase amount. See figures 1-4.

Regaridng claim 19, Gothard discloses a modern circuit and a transceiver circuit. See col.4, ines 5-29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/607,405

Art Unit: 2821

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3,5-7, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Gothard (US 6,369,770).

Regarding claims 2-3,5-7, 14, 18, Gothard disclose the claimed invention except for said enclosure is approximately 3 cm thick or dimensions of about 25 cm by about 20 cm or degrees or the elevation of the radiation pattern is fixed or wherein M is 5 and N is 8 or degrees. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to employ the enclosure is approximately 3 cm thick or dimensions of about 25 cm by about 20 cm or degrees or the elevation of the radiation pattern is fixed or wherein M is 5 and N is 8 or degrees, since applicant has not disclosed that the enclosure is approximately 3 cm thick or dimensions of about 25 cm by about 20 cm or degrees or the elevation of the radiation pattern is fixed or wherein M is 5 and N is 8 or degrees solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the enclosure is approximately 3 cm thick or dimensions of about 25 cm by about 20 cm or degrees or the elevation of the radiation pattern is fixed or wherein M is 5 and N is 8 or degrees.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/607,405 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hong (US 6,266,011) and Ben-bassat et all. (US 6,535,545) are

cited to show an array antenna.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Minh A whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30 –2:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for

regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (571)

272-1553.

Examiner

Minh A

Art unit 2821

7/25/04

JAMES VANNUCCI PRIMARY EXAMINED